THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

National	Intelligence	Officers
----------	--------------	----------

cers		
	NFAC-5472-78 5 December 1978	
Director of Central Int	elligence	
National Intelligence C	Officer for Nuclear Proliferati	on
Monthly Warning Report	Nuclear Proliferation	25X1
Requested: None; for yo	ur information only.	25X1
Proliferation was conve	ned on 4 December to identify	
: important of the sever the subject report (att	ached).	25X1
	John Despres	
	·	
	·	25X1°
	Director of Central Int Deputy Director for Nat National Intelligence C National Intelligence C Monthly Warning Report Requested: None; for yound: A meeting of the I Proliferation was converselating to warning in the important of the sever	Director of Central Intelligence Deputy Director for National Foreign Assessment National Intelligence Officer for Warning National Intelligence Officer for Nuclear Proliferati Monthly Warning Report Nuclear Proliferation Requested: None; for your information only. Proliferation was convened on 4 December to identify elating to warning in the area of nuclear proliferation in the several items that were discussed the subject report (attached).

25X1

	Approved For Release 2007/94/12 CIA-RDP83B01027R000300130002-2				
7	orunci		Attachment to NFAC 5472-78 25X1 5 December 1978		
	Monthly Warning Report: Nuclear Proliferation	ation	25X1		

National Intelligence Officer for Nuclear Proliferation

- Repercussions from Western Sales of Nuclear Technology to the PRC. India and the Soviet Union are likely to react harshly to French sales of USlicensed nuclear power reactors (and other controlled items) to China. Notwithstanding Prime Minister Desai's strong personal interests in facilitating continued nuclear cooperation with the United States, a variety of opposition leaders, elements within the Janata party, and nuclear, diplomatic, and military authorities are likely to view China's special treatment as a symbol of the international "caste" system based on the overt possession of nuclear weapons in which India is inferior to China. To insure "equality" with China, a coalition of groups is likely, or at least strongly inclined, to block Indian moves toward accepting international safeguards on nuclear facilities. The USSR, both to stimulate Indian interests in competing with China and to limit further Western assistance to China, may increase its nuclear and military assistance to India while possibly also hardening positions it has taken with the US in SALT. Argentina, Brazil, Pakistan, Germany, et. al. are also likely to complain about the discrimination by the United States and France against them and in favor of nuclear-weapons states exemplified by these sales. (25X1
- 2. Pakistani Uranium Enrichment Technology Acquisition. Pakistan's efforts to acquire foreign equipment for a uranium enrichment plant now under construction have been more extensive and sophisticated than previously indicated. Despite the best efforts of nuclear supplier states to thwart these activities, Pakistan may succeed in acquiring the main missing components for a strategically significant gas centrifuge enrichment capability. To the extent Indians learn about or suspect Pakistani progress toward a nuclear weapons capability, and there are signs of heightened concern, their aversion to intrusive safeguards on nuclear facilities and their interest in more nuclear weapons-oriented activities may be strengthened substantially.
- 3. <u>Libyan-Indian Nuclear Cooperation</u>. Prime Minister Desai has reportedly promised Libya nuclear assistance, including training and technology transfer in certain previously proscribed fields, presumably reprocessing. This unprecedented decision was apparently taken in response to an offer by Major Jallud (Libya's number-two man) of \$1.5 billion for a number of Indian-manned projects in Libya, along with nuclear cooperation. During his visit to India in July, Jallud expressed his government's concern with Israeli nuclear weapons capability. While one leading Indian nuclear official seems to believe that the agreement, if fully implemented, could lead to a Libyan nuclear weapons capability, the precise terms of agreement are not known, may not yet be decided, but could be settled within the next few months.

 25X1

25X1 25X1 4. South African Nuclear Weapons Test Facility. The first signs of renewed activity at the military test facility in the Kalahari Desert since it was partially dismantled earlier this year have been confirmed. While this new evidence has raised doubts about South African intentions, the few new pieces of equipment and bulldozing that have recently been observed at key sites in the Kalahari facility are not particularly significant indicators of preparations for an imminent test. In light of last month's report on South Africa's apparent achievements of high uranium tenrichment levels, though, there is a strong consensus that the situation merits closer monitoring than it has been receiving in recent months.	Approved For Release 2007/04/49: CIA-RDP83B01027R000	300130002-2
4. South African Nuclear Weapons Test Facility. The first signs of renewed activity at the military test facility in the Kalahari Desert since it was partially dismantled earlier this year have been confirmed. While this new evidence has raised doubts about South African intentions, the few new pieces of equipment and bulldozing that have recently been observed at key sites in the Kalahari facility are not particularly significant indicators of preparations for an imminent test. In light of last month's report on South Africa's apparent achievements of high uranium tentichment levels, though, there is a strong consensus that the situation		25X1
4. South African Nuclear Weapons Test Facility. The first signs of renewed activity at the military test facility in the Kalahari Desert since it was partially dismantled earlier this year have been confirmed. While this new evidence has raised doubts about South African intentions, the few new pieces of equipment and bulldozing that have recently been observed at key sites in the Kalahari facility are not particularly significant indicators of preparations for an imminent test. In light of last month's report on South Africa's apparent achievements of high uranium enrichment levels, though, there is a strong consensus that the situation		25X1
while this new evidence has raised doubts about South African intentions, the few new pieces of equipment and bulldozing that have recental been observed at key sites in the Kalahari facility are not particularly significant indicators of preparations for an imminent test. In light of last month's report on South Africa's apparent achievements of high uranium terichment levels, though, there is a strong consensus that the situation		25X1
merits closer monitoring than it has been receiving in recent months.	While this new evidence has raised doubts about intentions, the few new pieces of equipment and bulldozing been observed at key sites in the Kalahari facility are no significant indicators of preparations for an imminent test last month's report on South Africa's apparent achievement enrichment levels, though, there is a strong consensus that	shari Desert since onfirmed. South African that have recent? It particularly of the since of high uranium 5X1 at the situation

, .